## PY

## DURT OF JUSTICE

5.

BETWEEN:

ESTY THE QUEEN

10

and -

LIFFORD MATHESON

15

---Before the jury, comme

Toronto con

Justice G. Ferguson with a 126, 1997, at the Metropolitan

20

Proceedings

----

----

25 APPEARANCES:

J. Ramsey, Es

A. Gold, Esq.

For the Crown

For the Accused

10

15

20.

25.

30

THE COURT: The accused, George Clifford

Matheson, is now before this Court for sentence. He has
been found guilty and convicted by me on two charges of
sexual assault. One charge relates to Martha McKeown,
during the period from March 15th, 1992 to August 14th,
1992, and one charge relates to Patricia Derraugh, during
the period from March 1st, 1987 to April 1st, 1989. My
judgment was given in this Court on March 26th.

report has been filed with the Court, and for the purpose of sentence, Dr. Tana Dineen, a licenced psychologist who has lived with the accused since 1992 and who now lives with him in Victoria, British Columbia, testified. She and the accused met as professional colleagues. She has stated to this Court that as a result of the consequences of the accused's conduct, the accused has "lived through hell." Those are her words and not mine. She also states that the accused now has nothing left.

On the matter of sentence, Mr. Gold stresses the current circumstances under which the accused has hit bottom, has lost his licence to practice psychology, and is still coping with civil litigation. Mr. Gold states that the only, as he calls it, negative factor here in sentencing is the seriousness of the offences. He also states that a

5.

10

15.

20

25

30

suspended sentence with probation would be sufficient here, but that in the alternative, any sentence that is imposed should be in the range of three to six months and be conditional because he states there is no need here for incarceration of the accused.

Mr. Ramsey, for the Crown, seeks a low penitentiary sentence of about three years. He stresses that the crimes committed do involve a pervasive breach of trust over a period of time by a highly educated, trained individual who, as described by the Crown, is a predator. The Crown states that any reformatory sentence, if imposed, by the Court should not be conditional.

The curriculum vitae of the accused has been filed with the Court, as was a resume of the practice of investigative and forensic hypnosis conducted by the accused for a substantial number of years. The accused is now forty-eight years of age. The presentence report confirms that he has had three failed marriages, two sons, earned a Ph.D. in clinical psychology and that since 1974, he has maintained a successful and prominent career in clinical psychology and hypnosis. For the preparation of the report filed with the Court, the accused admitted that on a professional level, he is guilty of breaching ethics and, thus, he took professional responsibility by pleading guilty

10

15:

20

25

30

to the ethics complaints and gave up his licence to practice as a psychologist.

The accused did not testify at his trial, but he has reiterated that position when asked to address this Court on sentence. The accused still stands by his not guilty plea with respect to the two serious criminal charges for which I have convicted him. The accused continues to believe that he did not breach the law. In the presentence report, it is stated that the accused, while admitting to sexual relations including sexual intercourse during professional therapy with Martha McKeown, knew that what was happening was a terrible mistake and professionally wrong, but that both he and his patient, as a health nurse, knew the boundaries. He could not provide any explanation for his conduct other than to suggest that he had weakened, and for the report he said that he felt both sorry and trapped, that he had apologized to Martha McKeown and has paid back to her all of her money. Those comments about weakening or being trapped certainly do not impress me, and they are, to me, very troublesome.

With respect to the charge involving Patricia
Derraugh, for the purpose of the presentence report, the
accused expressed regret, expressed the fact that he feels
hurt and is angry and fearful of Patricia Derraugh. He has

10

15

20

25

30

stated that she and he had a long-term relationship, that he did a lot for her and trusted her, but now she seems to be out to ruin him. While according to the presentence report, the accused was devastated when charges of sexual misconduct were launched against him with the Ontario Board of Examiners in Psychology, he did accept professional responsibility and surrendered his licence to practice as a psychologist.

The accused before the Court has no criminal record. During his career as a psychologist, he has undergone psychoanalysis on numerous occasions for training and learning purposes. To me, it is not inappropriate to state a conclusion that the submissions made and material filed on behalf of the accused are generally aimed at attempting to convince me that the accused is now a victim of his regrettable but unprofessional sexual lust. Yes, there are two victims, but the accused is not one of them, but he seems to be portrayed as a victim at this time both by his counsel and by Tana Dineen.

I have carefully studied the two victim impact statements filed with the Court. They are both statements of depth of feeling, and they are explicit. Both victims have suffered substantially from the accused's breach and abuse of trust. I am satisfied that that is so. Patricia

10

15

20

25

30

Derraugh is angry. She is depressed and not optimistic about her future. As a result of the scheme whereby the accused acquired an interest in the title to her home, she is stuck with a large mortgage which is draining her resources, and while she has sued the accused in civil court, she understands that he will file and declare bankruptcy resulting in her never being able to recover financially. She says she now lives in a state of helplessness and stress. She states that she no longer feels joy or a love of life, and that the accused stole her hope.

Martha McKeown describes the accused's crimes as being premeditated and carefully planned and carried out with a callous disregard for her well-being, and that the accused's breach of the trust has had, and still has, a negative impact on every aspect her of her life. She states that her pain is too personal to share. She says that the legal process has already stripped her of everything that is personal and private. She believes that the accused still remains a threat to the public. She emphasizes the damaging result of psychological manipulation. It has taken her a long time to overcome her distrust of her new therapist.

The Court has learned that the accused now resides in Victoria, British Columbia, where he lives with

Dr. Tana Dineen, who does not practice, but who is working on a second book, and who owns a small bed and breakfast house. The accused has been actively engaged in the affairs of that business. The accused has stated that he has no intention to practice psychology.

Let me deal with the circumstances and facts of this case. In my prior judgment I outlined sixteen facts or factors involving Martha McKeown and twenty facts or factors involving Patricia Derraugh. While it is certainly not appropriate to repeat them here, I wish to briefly summarize them.

First about Martha McKeown. Being desperately in need of therapy for her mental condition and having provided the accused with a comprehensive details of her background, she submitted herself to therapy by the accused for a period of about five months. His therapy incorporated a progressive pattern of touching, then embracing, having her on his lap, advising her it was not necessary to tell her husband everything, and then stroking which aroused her sexually, telling her that there was nothing wrong with such arousal. All of that lead her to believe and to assume that the accused wanted to have sex with her, and that lead up to the occurrence of sexual intercourse with her in his office or in his apartment, altogether some five or six times while

30

25

10:

15:

10

15

20

25

30

so-called therapy continued on a regular basis. He constantly confirmed her need for therapy. He participated with her in a bogus billing arrangement to deceive her husband. When she wanted to stop therapy, he told her that she was close to becoming better, while encouraging a lack of disclosure to her husband. Martha McKeown was vulnerable and, accordingly, she was seduced and manipulated. She was groomed by a person of superior power although she is an intelligent nurse. Her mind was controlled by overpowering seduction by a specialist in exercising control over the mind. Every time the accused had sex with her, he knew it was wrong.

mental condition. She went to the accused for treatment. She too was vulnerable and had a fragile state of mind. After about a year in which nothing sexual occurred, she had then unconditional trust in the accused, but the accused pressed her to get rid of her husband, and told her that she would never get better if she did not comply with that direction. Then later, the accused concocts the financial plan which would provide her with funds to pay her husband off, and she falls for that scheme even against the advice of her own lawyer, even though it did involve the accused not putting up any of his own money, but did give him an

5.

10:

15

20

25

30

interest in the title to her house. The accused blatantly and deceitfully pressured her not to go to another therapist, in or out of the hospital. When she tells him that she wants therapy and not sex, there is then repeated sexual activity. He says he falls in love with her, and although she tells him that she does not want to see him, the sexual relationship continues, and when travelling in Europe with his family, he subjects Patricia Derraugh to a barrage of passionate letters and gifts, and when the so-called therapy ceased, she and the accused then have a long-term living and sexual relationship, which ended when she found the accused with another woman, as I understand being the person with whom he is now living.

Here again Patricia Derraugh was groomed or orchestrated or seduced by the mental exercise of authority of the accused while alleging to be her therapist to help her mental condition. Here again, the accused knew that he was wrong in having any sexual relationship with Patricia Derraugh while she was his patient.

This case, in my view, involves overwhelming evidence that the accused being in position of authority over both Martha McKeown and Patricia Derraugh, knowingly and intentionally exercised that authority for the purpose of sexual intercourse. Therefore, there was no consent

involved, and so there was proof beyond a reasonable doubt of two offences of sexual assault. There was no issue in this case as to whether sexual intercourse occurred or as to the frequency of that event.

with respect to the matter of authority and therapy, and as to when therapy ends when sexual activity with a patient begins. There was certainly no dispute by anybody to the proposition that when a psychologist has sex with a patient, then therapy comes to an end or, as stated by one of the expert witnesses, therapy goes out the window. While there was a degree of conflict in the expert testimony about the type or degree of authority or power enjoyed by a psychologist over a patient or the circumstances which create authority or the varying degree of authority. There is no issue here for the purpose of sentence, as to whether this case involved a breach of trust. As the experts state, that when a psychologist engages in a sexual relationship with a patient, then the therapy is immediately over.

This case involves two separate offences where, in my view, there was blatant long-term breach of trust by a highly intelligent and experienced psychologist regarding two patients who, as a result of his unprofessional and criminal activity, have suffered harm. The sentence in my

30

5

10:

15

20

view must reflect the accused's responsibility and type of crimes.

For the purpose of sentence, the Court must, as I have, carefully consider all of the well-known and well recorded factors on sentence including specific deterrence, general deterrence, denunciation and rehabilitation. assessments are all important and all have been considered by me in dealing with this case. The relationship between the accused and his victims is comparable to the relationship between a medical practitioner and his patients. It, above all, incorporates a feduciary relationship or a trust, being a trust that the professional person who has assumed superior power and authority will exercise that power and authority only for the good and interest of the person with inferior power. Professional people occupying such a place occupy a privileged place in society because the law and their own professional associations permit them to exercise a great deal of authority and, thus, impose a high duty in return for a privilege, and when there is gross breach of trust, even by a first offender, then in the absence of significant, mitigating factors, in my view, a jail sentence is justified.

When the Court is dealing with a matter of

30

10!

15

20.

10

15.

20

25.

30

sentence for a highly trained and experienced professional with an impeccable past, the Court so often emphasizes, as  ${f I}$ do, the principle of general deterrence and denunciation and the factor of breach of trust as being a serious and aggravating factor. The length of time during which the sexual activity occurred is an aggravating factor in this case as was the number of incidents. The sexual exploitation in this case involved the use of professional skill and planning because, in my view, the victims were groomed while under the mental domination and authority of the accused. The accused's loss of his professional licence is a mitigating factor in this case. His remorse for professional misconduct resulted in the loss of his licence. There is no remorse for any criminal act. The fact that the accused has no criminal record is a mitigating factor. length of time over which the offences occurred and the frequency of the offences are of course aggravating factors. Having regard to the more recent amendments to the Criminal Code, I have certainly given serious consideration to the those amendments.

It is important in my view in this case to emphasis the type of relationship which existed between the accused and his victims, having regard to the background of the accused in having such a sophisticated in-depth

sentence for a highly trained and experienced professional with an impeccable past, the Court so often emphasizes, as I do, the principle of general deterrence and denunciation and the factor of breach of trust as being a serious and aggravating factor. The length of time during which the sexual activity occurred is an aggravating factor in this case as was the number of incidents. The sexual exploitation in this case involved the use of professional skill and planning because, in my view, the victims were groomed while under the mental domination and authority of the accused. The accused's loss of his professional licence is a mitigating factor in this case. His remorse for professional misconduct resulted in the loss of his licence. There is no remorse for any criminal act. The fact that the accused has no criminal record is a mitigating factor. length of time over which the offences occurred and the frequency of the offences are of course aggravating factors. Having regard to the more recent amendments to the Criminal Code, I have certainly given serious consideration to the those amendments.

It is important in my view in this case to emphasis the type of relationship which existed between the accused and his victims, having regard to the background of the accused in having such a sophisticated in-depth

30

5

10

15

20:

deemed to be an aggravating circumstance. This case in each count involves a serious and continuing abuse of a position of trust or authority by the accused. The core of this case is the abuse of authority and exercise of authority. Therefore, it is my conclusion, having assessed all the well-known factors on sentencing, that a prison term is judicially appropriate and entirely warranted here.

From time to time, there has been uncertainty or disagreement about the process or assessment of factors which apply in determining whether a conditional sentence is appropriate. Having regard to the most recent amendment to the Criminal Code, enacted this month on May the 2nd, the imposition of a conditional sentence would not, in my view, be consistent with the fundamental purposes and principles of sentencing, having regard to the principle of totality, and, in my view here, the requirement for consecutive sentence. It is my view that a penitentiary term of incarceration is judicially warranted, but having regard to the substantial professional work that the accused has done for public institutions for his profession and for law enforcement agencies, I reached the conclusion that the total sentence imposed should be for a minimum penitentiary term.

Dr. Matheson, on count number one, I sentence

30

25

10

15

111 FERGUSON, OCJ Reasons for Sentence

to you a term of one year. On count number two, I sentence you to a term of one year consecutive. Therefore, the sentence is two years in the penitentiary.

10

Certified correct:

15

B.A. Farrell, CSR, RPR Official Court Reporter

20

to the way of the con-

25